

Air Quality Permitting Technical Memorandum

TIER II Operating Permit and Permit to Construct No. 777-00140

BANNOCK PAVING CO., INC. POCATELLO, IDAHO

Prepared By:

Kent Berry Environmental Quality Management, Inc.

Project No. T2-010317

Date Prepared:

September 17, 2002

Permit Status:

FINAL PERMIT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACR | ONYMS, UNITS, AND CHEMICAL NOMENCLATURE | . 3 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 1. | PURPOSE | . 4 |
| 2. | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | . 4 |
| 3. | FACILITY DESCRIPTION | . 4 |
| 4. | SUMMARY OF EVENTS | . 4 |
| 5. | PERMIT HISTORY | . 4 |
| 6. | DISCUSSION | . 5 |
| 5. | FEES | . 6 |
| 6 | RECOMMENDATIONS | . 7 |

ACRONYMS, UNITS, AND CHEMICAL NOMENCLATURE

AFS AIRS Facility Subsystem

AIRS Aerometric Information Retrieval System

Bannock Bannock Paving Co., Inc.

CO carbon monoxide

DEQ Department of Environmental Quality
EQM Environmental Quality Management, Inc.

HAPS hazardous air pollutants

IDAPA A numbering designation for all administrative rules in Idaho promulgated in

accordance with the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act

1b/hr pounds per hour

MACT Maximum Achievable Control Technology

NESHAP National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NO_x nitrogen oxides

NSPS New Source Performance Standards

PM particulate matter

PM₁₀ particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10

micrometers

PSD Prevention of Significant Deterioration

SIP State Implementation Plan

SM synthetic minor
SO₂ sulfur dioxide
TAP toxic air pollutant
T/hr tons per hour
T/yr tons per year

VOC volatile organic compound

PURPOSE

The purpose for this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 58.01.01 Sections 200 et seq. and 404, Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho, for Permits to Construct and Tier II operating permits.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Bannock Paving Co. Inc. (Bannock), located in Pocatello, Idaho, has requested revision of their Tier II operating permit originally issued September 11, 1998, to allow combustion of waste oil and remediation of petroleum contaminated soil. Conditions have been added to the permit to deal with waste oil quality, limit the amount of contaminated soil processed, and standardize the permit according to the Department's current permitting format. There have been no physical changes at the facility that would affect facility emissions. The emissions sources at the facility are as follows:

Table 1.1 FACILITY EMISSION SOURCES

| Perm | it Section | Source Description | Emissions Controls |
|------|------------|---|---|
| | 3 | Hot mix asphalt plant dryer, Cedarapids drum mix, 450-T/hr capacity | Standard Havens baghouse, Model: Alpha Mark III |

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The description of this facility and the equipment regulated in the permit have not changed since the issuance of the original Tier II operating permit in 1998. For facility and equipment descriptions, refer to the technical memorandum dated September 11, 1998, written by Yihong Chen, DEQ Air Quality Engineer.

SUMMARY OF EVENTS

| September 17, 2001 | DEQ received a request from Bannock to revise their Tier II operating permit to allow waste oil to be burned in the dryer. |
|--------------------|--|
| March 4, 2002 | DEQ deemed the application complete. |
| April 16, 2002 | DEQ received a revised application requesting that the facility be permitted to use petroleum contaminated soil. |
| June 26, 2002 | DEQ issued a draft permit for facility review. |
| July 29, 2002 | DEQ issued a proposed permit for public comment. |
| September 5, 2002 | The public comment period closed. There were no public comments. |

PERMIT HISTORY

The following is a summary of the permit files available to EQM:

September 11, 1998 A Tier II operating permit was issued that limited the facility to Synthetic Minor status for purposes of Tier I permitting.

DISCUSSION

1. Emissions Estimates

The emission calculations for criteria air pollutants (worst-case is still residual fuel oil) have not changed since the issuance of the Tier ii permit on September 11, 1998. For emission estimate information for this facility, refer to the technical memorandum dated September 11, 1998, written by Yihong Chen, DEQ Air Quality Engineer. Toxic air pollutant emissions are presented in the appendix.

Modeling

Modeling results for the criteria air pollutants as presented in the September 11, 1998, technical memorandum by Yihong Chen are still valid. The applicant conducted a SCREEN3 modeling analysis for TAPs for the asphalt dryer using waste oil and remediated soil; the results and SCREEN3 output files are presented in the appendix.

In most cases, the AP-42 TAP emission factors for No. 2 fuel oil and waste oil are the same, therefore, for those pollutants there is no emission increase requiring a TAP analysis. For the few TAPs with new or increased emissions from burning waste oil, all were either below the emission screening levels or the acceptable ambient concentrations in IDAPA 58.01.01.585 or 586. Benzene emissions from soil remediation resulted in the need for contaminated soil throughput and concentration limits in order to meet the acceptable ambient concentrations for benzene in IDAPA 58.01.01.586.

3. Facility Classification

The hot-mix plant is not a major facility as defined in IDAPA 58.01.01.006.55 or 008.10. It is not a designated facility as defined in IDAPA 58.01.01.006.27. The facility is classified as a SM source because actual and potential emissions of regulated air pollutants are less than 100 T/yr only if it complies with the federally-enforceable emission limits in the permit.

4. Regulatory Review

This permit is subject to the following permitting requirements:

| a. | IDAPA 58.01.01.401 | Tier II Operating Permit |
|----|----------------------------|--|
| b. | IDAPA 58.01.01.403 | Permit Requirements for Tier II Sources |
| C. | IDAPA 58.01.01.404.01(c) | Opportunity for Public Comment |
| d. | IDAPA 58.01.01,404.04 | Authority to Revise or Renew Operating Permits |
| е. | IDAPA 58.01.01.406 | Obligation to Comply |
| f. | IDAPA 58.01.01.470 | Permit Application Fees for Tier II Permits |
| g. | IDAPA 58.01.01.585 and 586 | Toxic Air Pollutant Increments |
| h. | IDAPA 58.01.01.625 | Visible Emission Limitation |
| i. | IDAPA 58.01.01.650 | General Rules for the Control of Fugitive Dust |
| j. | IDAPA 58.01.01.725 | Rules for Sulfur Content of Fuels |
| k. | 40 CFR 60, Subpart I | NSPS for Asphalt Concrete Plants |
| l. | 40 CFR 279 | Standards for the Management of Used Oil |
| m. | IDAPA 58.02.02 200 et seg | Requirements for Permits to Construct |

5. Permit Conditions

All conditions from the September 11, 1998, Tier II permit, including the asphalt dryer throughput limits, have been retained. Additional conditions on used oil contaminants and sulfur content, as requested by the applicant, have been added. Throughput and gasoline concentration limits for contaminated soils, as requested by the applicant, have also been added. Finally, mass emission limits (lb/hr and T/yr) have been added for the asphalt dryer for each pollutant with potential emissions exceeding 10% of the significant emission rates at IDAPA 58.01.01.006.92. Compliance with the throughput and fuel sulfur limits will ensure compliance with the emission limits.

No emission limit or source test for benzene is required because compliance with the AACC for benzene was demonstrated based on reasonable worst-case conditions concerning benzene concentration in gasoline and benzene destruction efficiency. The requested annual throughput limit for petroleum contaminated soils and the gasoline concentration will ensure compliance with the annual benzene AACC (no short-term throughput limit is necessary).

No additional testing requirement for PM from the asphalt plant dryer was added to the previously-issued permit. The dryer was tested in 1997 and was well below the allowable limit of 0.04 gr/dscf. If visible emissions or other information indicate that the PM limits for the dryer may be exceeded, the Department may exercise its authority under IDAPA 58.01.01.121.04 to require the facility to conduct a performance test to demonstrate compliance.

6. AIRS

AIRS/AFS FACILITY-WIDE CLASSIFICATION DATA ENTRY FORM

| AIR PROGRAM | OID | nen | wene | | | 7777 5 | AREA CLASSIFICATION A – Attainment |
|-------------------|-----|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|---|
| POLLUTANT | SIP | PSD | NSPS (Part 60) | NESHAP (Part 61) | MACT (Part 63) | TITLE | U – Unclassifiable N – Nonattainment |
| SO ₂ | SM | *************************************** | | | | 561 | ,A |
| NO _x | SM | | | | | Szei | U |
| СО | SM | | | | | | U |
| PM ₄₀ | SM | | sm | | | SPI | U |
| PM (Particulate) | SM | | | | | 5m | .A * 2. |
| VOC | В | | - | Section 11. Western Servicements | | | Ú |
| THAP (Total HAPs) | NA | | | | | | NA NA |
| | | | APP | LICABLE SUE | BPART | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |

* AIRS/AFS Classification Codes:

- A = Actual or potential emissions of a pollutant are above the applicable major source threshold. For NESHAP only, class "A" is applied to each pollutant which is below the 10 T/yr threshold, but which contributes to a plant total in excess of 25 T/yr of all NESHAP pollutants.
- SM = Potential emissions fall below applicable major source thresholds if and only if the source complies with federally enforceable regulations or limitations.
- B = Actual and potential emissions below all applicable major source thresholds.
- C = Class is unknown.
- ND = Major source thresholds are not defined (e.g., radionuclides).

FEES

Fees apply to this facility in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.470. The facility is subject to Tier II operating permit application fees of \$500, which were paid at the time of application.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the review of the application materials and all applicable state and federal regulations, staff recommends DEQ issue a final Tier II operating permit and PTC to Bannock Paving Co. A public comment period on the air quality aspects of the proposed permit was provided in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.404.01.c.

KB/MS:sm

G:\AIR QUALITY\STATIONARY SOURCE\SS LTD\T2\BANNOCK PAVING\FINAL\BANNOCK FINAL TECH MEMO,DOC

cc: Kent Berry, EQM Tiffany Floyd, Pocatello Regional Office Joan Lechtenberg, Air Quality Division

APPENDIX TOXIC AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS AND MODELING RESULTS

| Emissions | Amalyala | for Non-Carc | inopenic P | of utants |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------|------------|---------------------------|
| | 2.24 AMP 41 AMP | 121 1221 4 1 3 | | A 4 1 MA PROPERTY & PARTY |

| | | CHIMAN CARD VIL | #1 101 101 LIN | , | U (VI WILLIE | 4. | | | 1 | • |
|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---|--|------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Poliutani | Ой Гура | Emission Factor, folian | Generator Emission Factor, Ib/M//Btu | Soli Remediation Emission Factor, lb/ton | Actual, Ib/fv | EL Standard, Tolix | Modeled 24 hr. Ambient Conc., ug/m ³ | AAC, ug/m³ (24 Hour average) | Modeli Raquire | |
| Acatone | Waste Oil | 0.00083 | | | 3.74E-01 | 1.33 | 4.84E-01 | 1,000 | N | Y |
| Acrolein | Weste OI | 2.6E-05 | 7.880E-06 | | 1.18E-02 | 0.017 | 1.57E-02 | 13 | N | Y |
| Antimony | #2 & Wasie Oil | 1.80E-07 | | | 8.10E-05 | 0.033 | 1.05E-04 | 25 | N | Y |
| Barlum | #2 & Waste Oil | 5.80E-00 | | | 2.61E-03 | 0.033 | 3,38E-03 | 25 | N | Y |
| Chromium | #2 & Waste OII | 5.50E-06 | | | 2.48E-03 | 0.033 | 3.21E-03 | 25 | N : | , Y |
| Copalt | Waste Oil | 2.80E-10 | | | 1.17E-07 | 0.0033 | 1.52E-07 | 3 | N | Y |
| Соррег | Waste Oil | 3.10E-08 | | | 1.40E-03 | 0.013 | 1,81E-03 | 10 | N i | Y |
| Crolonaldehyde | Waste Oil | 8.6E-05 | | | 3.875-02 | 0.38 | 5.02E-02 | 285 | N | · Y |
| Ethylbenzene | #2 & Waste Off | 0.00024 | | | 1.08E-01 | 29 | 1,40E-01 | 21,750 | N | Y |
| Soli Remediation | | | | 2.3528E-01 | 1.06E+02 | 29 | 1.37E+02 | 21,750 | Υ | Υ |
| Heptana | #2 & Waste Oil | 9.40E-03 | | | 4,23E+00 | 109 | 5.48E+00 | 82,000 | N | Y |
| Hexana | #2 & Yveste Oil | 0.00092 | | | 4.14E-01 | 12 | 5.37E-01 | 8,000 | N | Υ . |
| Manganese | #2 & Waste Oil | 7.7E-06 | | | 3.47E-03 | 0.067 | 4.49E-03 | 50 | N I | Y |
| Mercury | #2 & Waste Off | 2.8E-08 | | | 1.176-03 | 0.0001 | 1.52E-03 | 1 | Y | ¥ |
| Methyl Chloroform | #2 & Waste Oil | 4.8E-05 | | | 2.16E-02 | 127 | 2.80E-02 | 95,500 | N | Y |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone | Waste Oil | 2E-05 | | | 9.00E-03 | 0.007 | 1.17E-02 | 6 | Y | Y |
| Naphthalane | #2 & Waste OI | 0.60065 | 1.30E-04 | | 2.94E-01 | 3.33 | 3.88E-01 | 2,500 | N | Y |
| Pentane | #2 & Waste Oil | 2.105-04 | | | 9.45E-02 | 0.033 | 1.22E-01 | 25 | Y | Y |
| Phosphorous | #2 & Waste Off | 2.80E-05 | | | 1.26E-02 | 0.007 | 1.63E-02 | 5 | Y | Y |
| Propionaldehyde | Waste Oil | 0.00013 | | | 5.85E-02 | 0.0287 | 7.58E-02 | 22 | Y | Y |
| · Quinone | Waste Oil | 0.00016 | | | 7.20E-02 | 0.027 | 9,33E-02 | 20 | Υ . | Y |
| Selenium | #2 & Waste Oil | 3.505-07 | | | 1.585-04 | 1.305-02 | 2.04E-04 | 10 | N | Y |
| Silver | #2 & Waste Oli | 4.80E-07 | • | • | 2.16E-04 | 0.007 | 2.80E-04 | 5 | N | Y |
| Thalkm | #2 & Waste Oil | 4.10E-09 | • | | 1.85E-08 | 0.007 | 2.39E-06 | 5 | N | Y |
| Taluene | #2 & Wasto Off | 0,0029 | 2,81E-04 | | 1.31E+00 | 25 | 1,71E+00 | 18,750 | N | Υ |
| Soll Remediation | | - | 2.81E-04 | 6.0072E-01 | 2.70E+02 | 25 | 3.50E+02 | 18,750 | Y | Y |

:JUN. 10. 2002 11:17AM

NVHUNG MO

NO. 918

Toxics Waste OI - Appendix A

| Emissions Analysis for Non-Carcinoperic Pollulants |
|--|
|--|

| : Pollutant : | Oli Type | Emissions Are Emission Factor, Ibilion | Generator Emission Factor, ID/MMBtu | Soli Remediation Emission Factor, Ib/lon | Actual, Italin | EL Standard, bliv | Modeled 24 hr. Ambient Conc., ug/m³ | AAC, ug/m³ (24 Hour average) | Mo Rec | delling uired? Meet AAC? |
|---------------------|----------------|--|--|--|-------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Valeraidehyde | Waste Oli | 6.7E-05 | | | 3.02E-02 | 11.7 | 3.91E-02 | 8,750 | N | Y |
| Xylane | #2 & Waste Oil | 0.0002 | 1.93E-04 | | 9.25E-02 | 29 | 1.30E-01 | 21,750 | N | Y |
| Soil Remediation | | | 1.93E-04 | 8.0096E-01 | 3.60E+02 | 29 | 4.67E+02 | 21,750 | Y | Y |
| Zino | #2 & Waste Oil | 6.10E-05 | | | 2.75E-02 | 0.667 | 3.58%-02 | 500 | N | Y |

Source: AP-42, 12/00, Tables 11.1-10 and 11.1-12 and AP-42, 10/96, Table 3.4-3 and 3.4-4. HK Tech Memo, June 28, 1999

For soil remediation: 50% control with petroleum concentration at 5,000 mg/kg

| | | Embelons A | relysis for C | <u>archrogenie P</u> | ofutants | | | | | | | { | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|--------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|---------------|---|--------|------------|--|--|
| Politari | Oll Type | HMA Emission Factor, liston | Generator Emission Factor, IbAMEN | Soli Remediation Emission Factor, Ibition | Actual, fultin | EL Stendard, Ibihr | Modeled Annual Ambient Cons., ug/m ² | AACC, ugim ⁵ (annual average) | Modeling Required? | Moet AACC? | Annual Hours of Operation to Meet AACC or EL | Tonneg | deet | Annual Tonnage Limil to Meet 16-5 Cancer Risk | |
| Acetaldelayde | Waste Of | 0.0013 | 2.5 2E-0 5 | | 5.85E-01 | 3E-03 | 2.375-01 | 9,56-01 | Y | Y | | | | | |
| Arsenic | #2 & Waste Oil | 5.60E-07 | | | 2.52E-04 | 1,585-06 | 1.02E-04 | 2.30E-04 | Y | Y | | | | | |
| Benzene Soll | #2 & Waste Of | 0,00039 | 7.78E-04 | | 1,86E-01 | 8.0E-04 | 8.75E-02 | 1.2E-01 | Y | Y | | | | | |
| Remediation | | | 7.76E-04 | 1.006-01 | 4.51E+01 | 1.0E+00 | 1.84E+01 | 1,2E-01 | ¥ | H | 195 | 87,5 | 5 | | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | #2 & Waste OI | 9.65-09 | 2.570E-07 | | 7.73E-06 | 2E-08 | 7,23E-06 | 3E-04 | Y | Y | | ! | } } | | |
| Beryllkum | #2 & Waste OF | 0.005+00 | | | 0.006+00 | 2.80E-05 | 0.006.+00 | 0.0042 | N | Y | | |] 1 | | |
| Bery@um | #2 & Wasta Oil | | | | 0.00E+00 | 9.10E-05 | 0,00E+00 | 1.40E-02 | N | Y | | | | | |
| Cadmium | #2 & Waste Off | 4.10E-07 | | į. | 1,85 <u>E-04</u> | 0,0000037 | 7,47E-05 | 6.6E-04 | Y | Y | | | | | |
| Dioxin | #2 & Waste Oli | 3.84E-10 | | | 1.84E-07 | 1.50E-10 | 8.635-08 | 2.2E-08 | Y | N | 2,908 | 1,306, | 815 | 13,086,165 | |
| Formakiehyde Hexavalent | #2 & Waste Oil | 3.1E-03 | 7.890E-05 | | 1.40E+00 | 5.185-04 | 5.67E-01 | 7.7E-02 | Y | H | 1,190 | 535,6 | 97 | 5,356,670 | |
| Chromken | #2 & Weste Ofi | 4.5E-07 | | | 2.03E-04 | 5.6E-07 | 8.20E-05 | 8.30E-05 | Υ. | Y | | | | | |
| Nickel | #2 & Weste Oil | 6.30E-05 | | | 2.84E-02 | 2.706-05 | 1.15E-02 | 4.20E-03 | Y | N | 3,204 | 1,441, | 975 | 14,419,753 | |
| PAH | \$2 & Weste Oil | 0.00088 | 2.12E-04 | | 3.9 9E -01 | 9.10E-0 | 5 1,65E-01 | 1,40E-0 | ۲Y ۰ | N | 744 | 334,7 | 32 | 3,347,325 | |
| | #2 & Waste Of | 88000,0 | | | 3.96E-01 | 9.10E-05 | 5 1.60E-01 | 1,405-02 | Y | N | 755 | 344,1 | 9 6 | 3,441,077 | |
| POM | #2 & Waste O# | 5.478E-07 | 4,497E-0 | 6 | 3.05E-04 | 2E-66 | 1,955-04 | 3E-04 | Y | Y | | | | | |

Source: AP-42, 12/00, Tables 11.1-9, 11.1-10 and 11.1-12, and AP-42, 10/98 Tables 3.4-3 and 3.4-1.

HK Tech Memo, June 26, 1999

For sof remediation: 50% control with petroleum concentration at 5,000 mp/kg

Note: Because this is a Tier's operating permit, pro-enteding textic embelors intest demonstrate a 1E-6 center risk. Based on conversations with IDEO).

Lead Emissions

| Emission Factor, lb/ton | Actual Emission Rate, tons/year | Significant Level | Concentration, | Actual Ambient Concentration, quarterly, ug/m ³ | Ambient Concentration Standard, Quarterly |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 1.50E-05 | 0.03 | 3 0.6 | 0.02187 | 0.00492075 | 1.5 |
| lb/ton | tons/yr | ton/yr | ug/m³ | ug/m³ | ug/m³ |

Source: AP-42, 12/00, Tables 11.1-10 and 11.1-12.

Technical Analysis/Bannock Paving April 15, 2002 Page 12

02/11/02 10:37:41

*** SCREEN3 MODEL RUN ***

*** VERSION DATED 96043 ***

Bannock HMA

SIMPLE TERRAIN INPUTS: SOURCE TYPE POINT EMISSION RATE (G/S) .126000 STACK HEIGHT (M) P 11.8900 1.5700 STK INSIDE DIAM (M) = STK EXIT VELOCITY (M/5) = 8.1412 STK GAS EXIT TEMP (K) = 400.2000 AMBIENT AIR TEMP (K) = 293.0000 RECEPTOR HEIGHT (M) .0000 URBAN/RURAL OPTION RURAL BUILDING HEIGHT (M) .0000 MIN HORIZ BLDG DIM (M) -.0000 MAX HORIZ BLDG DIM (M) = .0000

THE REGULATORY (DEFAULT) MIXING HEIGHT OPTION WAS SELECTED.
THE REGULATORY (DEFAULT) ANEMOMETER HEIGHT OF 10.0 METERS WAS ENTERED.

STACK EXIT VELOCITY WAS CALCULATED FROM VOLUME FLOW RATE = 33395.000 (ACFM)

BUOY. FLUX = 13.178 M**4/S**3; MOM. FLUX = 29.902 M**4/S**2.

*** FULL METEOROLOGY ***

*** TERRAIN HEIGHT OF 0. M ABOVE STACK BASE USED FOR FOLLOWING DISTANCES

| DIST | CONC | | U10M | USTK | MIX HT | PLUME | SIGMA | SIGMA | • |
|-------|-----------|------|-------|-------|--------|----------------|-------|-------|-----|
| (M) | (UG/M**3) | STAB | (M/S) | (M/S) | (M) | HT (M) | Y (M) | Z (M) | |
| DWASH | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ************** | | | ··· |
| - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | .0000 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 320.0 | 158.29 | 2.10 | 2.14 | NO |
| 100. | .3116 | 3 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 3200.0 | 24.26 | 12.67 | 7.79 | NO |
| 200. | 2.837 | 4 | 20.0 | 20.5 | 6400.0 | 15.64 | 15.67 | 8.69 | NO |
| 300. | 3.111 | 4 | 20.0 | 20.5 | 6400.0 | 15.64 | 22.70 | 12.27 | NO |
| 400. | 2.795 | 4 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 4800.0 | 18.47 | 29.58 | 15.51 | NO |
| 500. | 2.507 | 4 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 3200.0 | 24.11 | 36.38 | 18.76 | NO |
| 600. | 2.261 | 4 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 3200.0 | 24.11 | 42.92 | 21.61 | NO |
| 700. | 2.067 | 4 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 2560.0 | 28.34 | 49.46 | 24.58 | NO |
| 800. | 1.870 | 4 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 2560.0 | 28.34 | 55.81 | 27.27 | NO |
| 900. | 1.684 | 4 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 1600.0 | 40.77 | 62.43 | 30,60 | NO |

Page 15

MAXIMUM 1-ER CONCENTRATION AT OR BEYOND 1. M:

259. 3.204 4 20.0 20.5 6400.0 15.64 19.93 10.88 NO

DWASH- MEANS NO CALC MADE (CONC - 0.0) DWASH=NO MEANS NO BUILDING DOWNWASH USED DWASH-HS MEANS HUBER-SNYDER DOWNWASH USED DWASH-SS MEANS SCHULMAN-SCIRE DOWNWASH USED DWASH-NA MEANS DOWNWASH NOT APPLICABLE, X<3*LB

*** *** SUMMARY OF SCREEN MODEL RESULTS *** ****

| CALCULATION | MAX CONC ("E**M\DU) | DIST TO | TERRAIN |
|----------------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| PROCEDURE | (UG/M3) | MAX (M) | HT (M) |
| STMPLE TERRAIN | 3.204 | 259. | 0. |

** REMEMBER TO INCLUDE BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS **

> 02/11/02 10:48:32

*** SCREEN3 MODEL RUN *** *** VERSION DATED 96043 ***